Project Management

Selecting a project management methodology

Guideline

This guideline provides advice for selecting and tailoring a project management methodology.
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Overview

This document provides guidance to Victorian Government departments and agencies establishing or reviewing their project management methodology (PMM).

The guideline has been developed for Victorian Government departments and agencies, and can be used by any interested agency.

The guideline is specifically targeted at managers and officers involved in the governance and delivery of projects and programs. This includes:

- Senior managers acting as project sponsors and / or sitting on project boards or steering committees;
- Senior managers with line responsibility for project managers, project officers and project or program management offices (PMOs); and
- Project managers, project officers and staff in PMOs.

Rationale

The goal of any methodology is to achieve greater efficiency and effectiveness through consistent use of repeatable processes. Some of the expected benefits from a project management methodology are:

- Improved governance and decision making, resulting in better selection of projects, and earlier identification of failure and therefore earlier project closure;
- Improved project delivery processes leading to increased chance of success;
- Increased time spent on delivery of project outcomes rather than “reinventing the wheel”;
- Elimination of choices where none are required;
- Consistent reporting and analysis, and consistent understanding of what is to be done; and
- Reduced risk and controlled change.

A project management methodology should be a balance between ease of use and sufficient discipline to ensure desired outcomes are achieved.

Principles

There are many definitions of a project, but they generally agree that each project delivers organisational change, such as a new product or service. A project management methodology identifies the common processes, deliverables and activities required for all projects, independent of their unique outputs. A PMM should be:

- easy to use and understand, and teach.
- able to provide early warning of problems.
- scalable. Complex risky projects that impact many stakeholders will require more oversight than those with one or two deliverables for a single business unit. Many organisations implement a sizing tool, and maintain separate documentation and governance requirements for projects of different complexity. If you are considering the use of a sizing tool you should take into account risk and complexity, not just cost or timeframes, and the effort required to maintain and use the tool and the
associated sets of templates. An alternative approach is to treat each project as unique, and have a kick-off meeting that agrees what governance and documentation is required. This approach requires a single set of templates, but requires effort to tailor them for each project. Both approaches require an independent assessment by someone with experience and perspective, to ensure that the correct level of governance is applied.

- customisable. A PMM should be aligned with other organisation processes, such as planning and budget cycles, risk management frameworks, procurement processes, and so on. Capital funded projects may need to be aligned with Victorian government processes such as High Value High Risk (HV/HR) and Gateway, and may have additional reporting requirements.

- measureable. Like a project, a PMM should have a business case, with key performance indicators, and be tracked against it. Typical project metrics include time, cost, quality (defects counts), exceptions and changes, earned value, project cancellation rates. Methodology metrics might also include measures of compliance rates, certification and training rates, project manager satisfaction with tools and training provided, and measures of organisational maturity. Metrics should be attributable, easy to understand, and easy to derive from a trusted source. Metric trends are often more important that absolute values.

- improvable. Lessons learned from past projects should be used to improve tools and templates within the methodology.

- resourced. Consider the availability of training and support during development and implementation, both external and internal to the organisation.

**Components**

A PMM identifies the typical project lifecycles for the organisation, the resources and skills required, and provides tools to support delivery. Typical components include:

- a framework, or a set of key principles that define the philosophy behind the PMM;

- a definition of the steps to be followed, the minimum set of expected deliverables, the key decision points, and the information required at those decision points;

- defined roles and responsibilities for project direction, project management, project delivery and project assurance and support, which should include escalation processes and thresholds;

- a minimum set of defined processes, procedures or work instructions, covering initial approval and funding, benefits management, planning and scheduling, risk and issue management, change management, reporting and escalation, and closing a project;

- a core set of templates for commonly used documents;

- access to case studies and lessons learned from previous projects;

- training materials such as induction kits, workshop training material and online self-training courses; and

- a definition of the minimum training and certification requirements for board members, project managers and team members, job descriptions or terms of reference that describe the key duties and behaviours, and processes and resources for getting training and acquiring certification.
Implementation

Implementing a PMM is significant organisational change, so it should be managed as a change project or program.

- Develop a business case and success measures.
- Identify the requirements.
- Build or acquire the methodology components.
- Conduct training and communication.
- Transition to the new methodology.
- Review outcomes against the business case and identify opportunities for improvement.

Introducing a PMM requires executive sponsorship, adequate resourcing, clear communication and management of expectations. A staged approach is likely to be better than big bang. Care should be taken to minimise the amount of customisation required to achieve the desired business benefits.

The business case for implementing a PMM should also take account of the effort, and therefore the resources, required for ongoing support and management, including:

- owning the methodology and having primary responsibility for intended business outcomes;
- providing ongoing training and support in the methodology and tools for as project teams, project boards and sponsors come and go;
- providing quality assurance of project deliverables and board papers, and compliance assessments of projects against the methodology;
- providing consolidated project reporting and support for decision making to boards and senior management;
- providing specialist skills to project teams, such as project planning and scheduling, risk management, and workshop facilitation;
- managing lessons learned and ensuring they are applied by new projects and used to continuously improve the methodology and tools;
- keeping up to date with best practice and ensuring the methodology and tools provide best practice support for project practitioners; and
- monitoring and reporting the actual benefits delivered by the PMM and making recommendations for changes.

These services are typically provided by a portfolio, program or project management office (PMO).
Some commonly used methodologies

Most methodologies will have most of the components identified, and most support customisation. Identifying business requirements, customising and adequately resourcing a selected methodology is probably more important than which approach is selected.

**PMBoK®**

The Project Management Body of Knowledge (PMBoK®) was developed by the Project Management Institute®. It is internationally recognised, and is used extensively in the US and the financial sector. It comprises a core set of processes, and bodies of knowledge, with a useful set of tools and techniques, and suggests that their implementation is context sensitive.

Strictly speaking PMBoK is not a methodology - PMI® call it a standard. It is useful for project practitioners, but it does not provide a clear framework for consistency and governance.

Training and certification in PMBoK® is widely available in Australia.

**PRINCE2®**

PRINCE2® was developed by the United Kingdom government, and is extensively used in the UK, Europe and Australia. It can be applied to projects of all scale, type, organisation or culture.

PRINCE2® comprises principles (guiding obligations and good practices), themes that explain specific treatment for project disciplines and why they are necessary, and step by step processes through the project lifecycle. PRINCE2® is intended to be configured to suit the needs of an organisation. It does not claim to be comprehensive and assumes that practitioners will source detail from other sources such as the PMBoK guide.

Training and certification in PRINCE2® is widely available in Australia.

**SDLC**

A systems development lifecycle (SDLC) identifies a series of interdependent activities required to deliver project products. Typical activities include analysis, design, testing, deployment, maintenance and disposal. An SDLC may include activities not conducted under project management (maintenance and disposal), and may not include some things that are, such as business case development and procurement. A comprehensive PM for systems development could be based on an SDLC, but may require additional components.

Agile and Waterfall are examples of SDLC.

**Agile**

Agile is a project delivery methodology that originated in software development projects. It relies on close work with customers throughout development of products, rather than development and sign-off of detailed specifications. Light weight Agile methods include Scrum, Lean, and Extreme Programming (XP), while fuller but still agile approaches include Agile Unified Process (AUP) and DSDM Atern, the oldest Agile methodology.

To successfully deliver projects using Agile, organisations need tightly defined deliverables, an experienced client with ability to commit resources, empowered teams and fast decision making.

The UK Office of Government Commerce recommends the use of Agile in combination with PRINCE2®, as outlined in *Agile Programming: Integrating DSDM Atern into an existing PRINCE2 environment*.

Training and certification in Agile methodologies is widely available in Australia.

**Waterfall**

This is the oldest SDLC, and originated in the manufacturing and construction industries. The waterfall model maintains that each activity in a sequence must be completed and signed off before the next one begins. The
central idea is that time spent early on requirements and design saves time and effort later, and there is considerable emphasis on documentation.

The waterfall approach is most suitable where requirements are stable, and designers can predict problem areas. Reliance on documentation protects projects from loss of knowledge with changes in staff.

Proprietary methods

There are many organisations that provide tools and training in project management, and most have a methodology, usually based on PMBoK® or PRINCE2® or a combination of the two. Australian examples can be located by typing “project management methodologies Australian” into a search engine.

Supporting tools

Many proprietary PMMs include project management software, and there are numerous project management software tools.

Typical objectives for installing a tool to support project management are:

- a centralised repository that supports consolidated reporting of schedule, budget, risks and issues for projects, programs and portfolios without re keying of information, thereby reducing staff requirements for projects and PMOs;
- improved compliance with methodology and business processes;
- improved estimating and scheduling;
- objective progress reporting against baselines rather than subjective human assessments;
- improved team collaboration and communication;
- improved resource management and what if modelling;
- improved decision making through better quality and more timely information.

A project management tool should support the methodology, which should be defined first. The sophistication of the tool should be matched to the capability maturity of the organisation.

Selecting a tool should also be run as a project, or as part of the project or program to implement the methodology. Good project management software should be:

- easy to use;
- scalable;
- able to be integrated easily with other business software such as email;
- have good vendor and community support; and
- be flexible and configurable and require minimal customisation

Gartner provide a summary of many project management tools in their *Magic Quadrant for IT project and portfolio management*. 
Derivation

The investment action area of the Victorian Government ICT strategy identifies the need to improve project delivery by adopting sound project management methodologies and improving the skills and capabilities of project management staff.

Action 27 commits to providing government wide advice on the selection and use of project management methodologies.
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Further information

For further information regarding this standard, please contact the Department of State Development, Business and Innovation, at digital.government@dsdbi.vic.gov.au.
### Glossary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agile</td>
<td>A systems development lifecycle developed to address shortcomings with the waterfall lifecycle.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTF</td>
<td>Department of Treasury and Finance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMBoK</td>
<td>A Guide to the Project Management Body of Knowledge®, provided by the Project Management Institute®</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRINCE2</td>
<td>PRojects IN Controlled Environments®, a best management practice provided by the Cabinet Office, UK government.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMM</td>
<td>Project Management Methodology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMO</td>
<td>Portfolio, program or project management office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDLC</td>
<td>Systems development lifecycle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waterfall</td>
<td>The original systems development lifecycle approach.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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